| John Melnik *30576<br>Name<br>High Dosert State Prison<br>P.o Box 650 | FILED RECLIVED SERVED SERVED ON COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD  SEP - 5 2017  CLERK US DISTRICT COURT |
|---|--|
| Indian Springs NV. 4907D<br>Prison Number                             | BY:DEPUTY  |
| UNITED STA  | ATES DISTRICT COURT<br>LICT OF NEVADA  |
| John Melnik Plaintiff,  | )<br>)<br>2:17-cv-02378  |
| Dr. Romeo Aranas  | ) CASE NO  |
|   | CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. § 1983  |
|   | ] Juny Trial, Demanded   |
| Defendant(s).   | )  |
| 1) This complaint alleges that  | the civil rights of Plaintiff, John Melnuk.  (Print Plaintiff's name)                            |
| who presently resides at  | he below named individuals which were directed against   |
| Plaintiff at Ely State (Institution                                   |  |
| 11-24-15 - 1-17-17<br>November 24,2015-January,<br>(Count I)          | (Count II) (Count III)   |
|   |  |

# Make a copy of this page to provide the below information if you are naming more than five (5) defendants

| 2) Defendant Rimeo Avan     | ac resides at  | P.O. Box 7011 Carson City, NV 89                               |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| (full name of first         | defendant)   | (address if first defendant)                                   |
| and is employed as Maria    | Director of ALDO   | (address if first defendant) . This defendant is sued in his/l |
| (defende                    | nt's position and title if   |  |
| (defenda                    | one site. (Cheels are  | any)   |
| omeiai                      | capacity. (Check one of  | any) both). Explain how this defendant w                       |
| acting /                    |  |  |
|                             |  | 11 0   |
| under color of law: As NARD | ical Director Dr   | Avanas is responsible for                                      |
| the midical welfare         | of all inmates   | of the NDOC  |
|                             |  |  |
| •                           |  |  |
| 3) Defendant                | resides at   | (address if first defendant)                                   |
| (full name of first         | defendant)   | (address if first defendant)                                   |
| and is employed as          | Colonidatit)   | . This defendant is sued in his/h                              |
| (d) Condo                   |  | I his defendant is sued in his/f                               |
| (devenda                    | nt's position and title, if  | any)   |
| individual official of      | apacity. (Check one or   | both). Explain how this defendant w                            |
| acting '\                   |  |  |
|                             |  |  |
| under color of law:         |  |  |
|                             |  |  |
|                             | <del>\</del>   |  |
| 4) Defendant                | resides at   | (address if first defendant) . This defendant is sued in his/h |
| (full name of first         | defendant)   | (address if first defendant)                                   |
| and is ampleyed as          | dologdant)   | This defendant is much in the first                            |
| and is employed as          | 1  | I his defendant is sued in his/h                               |
| (derenda)                   | nt's position and title, if  | any)   |
| individual official of      | apacity. \(Check one or  | both). Explain how this defendant w                            |
| acting                      | and the second s | •  |
|                             | \  |  |
| under color of law:         | <b>\</b>   |  |
|                             |  | <del></del>  |
|                             |  |  |
|                             | \  |  |
|                             | \  |  |
| 5) Defendant                | resides at _   | ·  |
| (full name of first         | defendant)   | (address if first defendant)                                   |
| and is employed as          |  | This defendant is sued in his/h                                |
|                             | nt's position and title, if  |  |
| (defendar                   | it's position and title, it's  | my)  |
| individual official c       | apacity. (Check one or   | both). Explain how this defendant w                            |
| ecting                      |  |  |
|                             |  |  |
| under color of law:         | •  |  |
|                             |  | \  |
|                             | <u>_</u>   |  |

| 6) Defe   | ndant               | resides a  | at   |
|-----------|---------------------|--|--|
|           |                     | of first defendant)  | (address if first defendant)   |
| and is    | s employed as       | efendant's position and title,   | This defendant is sued in his/he   |
| i         |                     |  | or both). Explain how this defendant wa  |
| acting    |                     |  |  |
| under     | color of law:       |  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |
|           |                     |  |  |
|           | •                   |  |  |
|           | t jurisdiction unde | r different or additional statu  | 1  |
|           | Just                | Tral Demande   | d  |
|           | ı.                  |  |  |
|           |                     |  |  |
|           |                     |  |  |
|           |                     |  |  |
| 1)        | Briefly state the b | B. NATURE OF THackground of your case.   | HE CASE  |
|           | Plaintiff wa        | s diagnoses with He  | epatitic C (HCV) in 2004 while<br>cr (S.D.C.C.) Between 2004   |
| at Su     | thern Deser         | d Correctional Cente   | cr (S.D.C.C.) Between 2004   |
|           |                     |  | e for HCV. In 2014 the F.D.A   |
|           |                     |  | ne Plaintiff began kiting  |
|           |                     |  | HOSP Seeking the Cure  |
|           |                     |  | ensured to Elystate Prison (E  |
|           |                     |  |  |
| mpare     | - Tlaintift Wa      | is veresta for HCV.  | After determining that Plain   |
| IFF W     | as in fact Ho       | V positive Maintiffs   | A.P.R. I scare was tracked   |
| <u> </u>  | Ywas explain        | ed to PlaintIFF that   | - per Medical Directive 219 and  |
| Nevade    | a Department        | of Corrections policy  | an inmak cannot be treated   |
| for H     | cv unless th        | inmates A.P.Z.I  | Score reaches 2.0  |
| <u></u> - | a November          | 2015 Paulier APV   | . I score reached and passed 2.0   |
|           | AT MOA EAMONA       | The state of the s | The state of the s |

C. CAUSE OF ACTION

### NATURE OF CASE COST

2 Making Plaintiff eligible for HCV treatment/Cure per N. O. O.C. policy.

8 Between November 2015 and January 2017, Plaintiff was denied treat
4 Ment for HCV on at least three ocassions by the Hepatitis C treatment and the Utilization Review Committee, headed by Dr. Romeo Aranas N.D.O.C.

6 Medical Director and the person responsible for approving Idisapproving treatment recommendation

Between November 2015 and January 2017. Plaintiff wrote Kites, 9 medical Kites, grievances and letters to the UrR. C. asking for treatment 10 for HCV. Stating his concern and fears of developing circhosis of the liver or some type of cancer. All of which have either been ignored, denied or passed 12 on to someone else who had no authority to effect a decision in way or 13 canother.

On June 21,2017 Plaintiff was told via teleconference that the results of a may 30,2017 ultrasound conducted at H.O.S.P. reflect that Plaintiff had developed, due to untreated HCV, Class A. Cirrhosis of the liver. Plaintiff was also made aware of a December 15,2016 ultrasound that Plaintiff had Cirrhosis then as well.

0

5

8

#### **COUNT I**

The following civil rights has been violated: Deliberate Indifference of a
Serious Medical Need in violation of the Eighth Amendment of the
United States Constitution

Supporting Facts: [Include all fact you consider important. State the facts clearly, in your own words, and without citing legal authority or argument. Be sure you describe exactly what each specific defendant (by name) did to violate your rights].

Plantiff was diagnosed with H.C.V in 2006, while at 8 DC.C.

And until 2014 there was no successful cure for this disease when
the Food and Drug Administration approved Shed in 2014 Plain—
tiff began Kiting medical for treatment for HCV, at H.D.S.P.

On 4-29-15 Plaintiff was transferred to E.S.P. and during the
instale interview with medical Durese Dawn Jones Plaintiff
notified her that he was HCV positive Nurse Jones noted that
Plaintiff should be submitted for lab work to determine if
he qualified for treatment.

Shortly thereafter Plaintiff was given a blood draw to
determine genome type of HCV as well as his A.P.R. I score. Plaintiff was then seen by Dr. Michael Koehne and told that his A.P.R. I
was not get high enough to be submitted for treatment Dr. Koehne
explained that N.D.O.C. producy for the treatment for tICV requires
the inmaks A.P.R. I score to be 2.0 per Medical Directive 219.

Dr. Koehne notified Plaintiff that through Ich work Plain—
tiffs A.P.R. I would be tracked and in November 2015, it was
determined that Tlaintiffs A.P.R. I score reached and exceeded

## COUNT ONE CONT

2.0.

3 2. Dr. Aranas' Knowledge of Serious Medical NEED:

On March 27, 2016, Plaintiff began the grievance proceedure concerning not being treated for HCV and explained the risks of not being treated for HCV, such as circlessis, liver cancer, etc.. On May 23, 2016, Plaintiff recieved the denial of the informal grievance. On May 24, 2016, Plaintiff filed the first level grievance. On August 3, 2016 Plaintiff recieved the First level response, being UPHELD, Stating that the Provider was work necessary to start the process of recieving treatment. This appointment was scheduled 7 12 16 by R.N. Dawn Jones. When the provider came to the unit on August 2, 2016, it was Dr. Romeo Aranas, who, at ESP, unit 6 A, in the pressence of Nurse Kelly Lyons, stood 10 Feet from Plaintiffs cell and Stated that he had already Seen Plaintiff, then exited the

20 The 1st level grievance that was UPHEID was denied by Dr. Avanas actions, and incident was added to 2nd level which was answered and denied by Defendant Dr. Avanas.

23 3. DEFENDANTS FAILURE TO PROVIDE TREATMENT:

24 On September 2, 2016, Plaintiff wrote another Informal
25 grievance due to Plaintiffs belief that he was being lied to by
26 Dr. Koehne concerning Submitting Plaintiff for treatment. This
27 grievance was denied because it was shown that on three Sep28 are occasions, 11-24-15, 3-30-16 and 9-31-16, Plaintiff was

(4a)

## Count One cont'd

8 was submitted for treatment, and as treatment was not a given, they were denied.

4. CAUSATION/INJURY:

On December 15, 2016 and again on May 30, 2017 through ultrasounds performed on Plaintiff that Plaintiff now Suffers Circhosis of the liver, due to untreated HCV. Treatment which was denied by the Defendant Romeo Aranas.

0

3

8

**COUNT III** 

| _ |   |                                       |                |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|----------------|
|   |   | \$                                    |                |
|   | Supporting Facts: [Include all fact you conside in your own words, and without citing legal audescribe exactly what each specific defendant | thority or argument. Be sure          | you            |
|   | describe exactly what each specific defendant   | (by name) did to violate your         | ignoj.         |
|   |   |                                       |                |
|   |   |                                       |                |
| _ |   |                                       | !              |
|   |   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | <del>, .</del> |
|   |   |                                       |                |
|   |   |                                       |                |
| , |   |                                       |                |
|   |   | <del>\</del>                          |                |
|   |   |                                       |                |
| _ |   | <del></del>                           |                |
|   |   |                                       |                |
|   |   |                                       |                |
|   |   |                                       |                |
|   |   |                                       | <u> </u>       |
|   |   |                                       |                |
|   |   |                                       |                |
|   |   |                                       |                |
|   |   |                                       |                |
| _ |   |                                       |                |
|   | D. PREVIOUS LAWSUITS AND ADI  | MINITOTO ATINE DEL TEE                |                |
|   |   |                                       |                |
|   | Have you filed other actions in state or federal  | courts involving the <b>same or</b> : | sımılar fi     |

| a)  | Defendants:  |
|-----|--|
| b)  | Name of court and docket number:   |
| c)  | Disposition (for example, was the case dismissed, appealed or is it still pending?):   |
| d)  | Issues raised:   |
| e)  | Approximate date it was filed:   |
| f)  | Approximate date of disposition:   |
|     | e you filed an action in federal court that was dismissed because it was determined to rivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted? |
|     |  |
|     | Yes No. If your answer is "Yes", describe each lawsuit. (If you had more than actions dismissed based on the above reasons, describe the others on an additional page      |
|     |  |
|     | wing the below outline.)   |
|     | suit #1 dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim:   |
| a)  | Defendants:  |
| b)  | Name of court and case number:   |
| c)  | The case was dismissed because it was found to be (check one): frivolous   |
| d)  | malicious or failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted.  Issues raised:   |
| e)  | Approximate date it was filed:   |
| f)  | Approximate date of disposition:   |
| Law | suit #2 dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim:   |
| a)  | Defendants:  |
| b)  | Name of court and case number:   |

|    | <b>;</b> ) | The case was dismissed because it was found to be (check one): frivolous failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted. |
|----|------------|--|
| ď  | <b>i)</b>  | Issues raised:   |
|    |            | Approximate date it was filed:   |
|    | ;)<br>()   | Approximate date of disposition:   |
| •  |            |  |
| I  | Lawsı      | uit #3 dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim:  |
| а  | 1)         | Defendants:  |
| b  | )          | Name of court and case number:   |
| c  | <b>;</b> ) | The case was dismissed because it was found to be (check one): frivolous   |
|    |            | malicious or failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted.   |
| d  | i)         | Issues raised:   |
|    |            |  |
| .ė | <b>3)</b>  | Approximate date it was filed:   |
| f  | ()         | Approximate date of disposition:   |
|    |            |  |
| ŀ  | Have       | you attempted to resolve the dispute stated in this action by seeking relief from the  |
| P  | orope      | r administrative officials, e.g., have you exhausted available administrative grievance  |
| p  | proce      | dures? Yes No. If your answer is "No", did you not attempt administrative  |
|    |            | because the dispute involved the validity of a: (1) disciplinary hearing; (2)  |
| :  | state      | or federal court decision; (3) state or federal law or regulation; (4) parole  |
|    |            | decision; or (5) other   |
| b  | poard      |  |
|    |            | r answer is "Yes", provide the following information. Grievance Number 2006 30   |

|  | ••••••   |
|--|--|
|  | E. REQUEST FOR RELIEF  |
| I belic  | eve that I am entitled to the following relief:  |
| of \$ 500,1  | croparable harm Plaintiff Seers Compensatory Damages  100.  eliberate Indifference Shown by Defendant Plaintiff  100,000 in Punitive Damages.  |
| For the D  | eliberate Indifference Shown by Defendant Plaintif   |
| Seeks \$5  | 00.000 in Punitivo Damages.  |
|  | 0  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| subject me to UNDER TH                                 | erstand that a false statement or answer to any question in this complaint will penalties of perjury. I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY E LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA THAT THE G IS TRUE AND CORRECT. See 28 U.S.C. § 1746 and 18 U.S.C. § 1621. |
| subject me to<br>UNDER TH<br>FOREGOIN<br>(Name of Pers | penalties of perjury. I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY E LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA THAT THE   |